UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/713,380	11/14/2003	Xin M. Wu	ITL.1049US (P17703)	1611

21906 7590 09/29/2005

TROP PRUNER & HU, PC
8554 KATY FREEWAY
SUITE 100
HOUSTON, TX 77024

EXAMINER	
VAN ROY, TOD THOMAS	
ART UNIT	**PAPER NUMBER**
2828	

DATE MAILED: 09/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/713,380	WU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tod T. Van Roy	2828	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____.

2a)☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b)☒ This action is non-final.

3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4)☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6)☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.

7)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8)☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:

1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

> A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –
>
> (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2, 4, 7, 9, 12, 14, 17, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Taguchi (US 6320890).

With respect to claim 1, Taguchi discloses a method comprising: providing current to a laser diode of an optical communication system (transmitter-diode, receiver-storage media) using a transistor (fig.10 #74) coupled in series with said laser diode (fig.10 LD) between a power supply voltage (fig.10 Vcc) and ground.

With respect to claim 2, Taguchi discloses providing a differential output stage (fig.10, formed of: R5, R6, #71,73) coupled to drive said transistor (col.11-12 lines 49-4).

With respect to claim 4, Taguchi discloses the transistor to be base driven (fig.10 #74, differential output connected to base).

With respect to claim 7, Taguchi discloses a method comprising: forming a direct modulation laser driver including a transistor (fig.10 #74) coupled between a power supply (fig.10 Vcc) and a laser diode (fig.10 LD); and coupling said transistor to be driven by a differential output stage (fig.10, formed of: R5, R6, #71,73).

With respect to claim 9, Taguchi discloses the transistor to be a bipolar transistor (fig.10 #74) having its base coupled to said differential output stage.

With respect to claim 12, Taguchi discloses a driver for a direct modulation laser comprising: a differential output stage (fig.10, formed of: R5, R6, #71,73), a transistor driven by said differential output stage (fig.10 #74), said transistor coupled between a power supply (fig.10 Vcc) and ground, and a laser diode (fig.10 LD) coupled in series with said transistor.

With respect to claim 14, Taguchi discloses the transistor is a bipolar transistor (fig.10 #74) having a base coupled to said differential output stage (fig.10 #74, differential output connected to base).

With respect to claim 17, Taguchi discloses a system comprising: a media access control (fig.10 #57, would allow for control by a media device), a laser driver coupled to said media access control (fig.10), said laser driver including a differential output stage (fig.10, formed of: R5, R6, #71,73), a transistor driver by said differential output stage (fig.10 #74), said transistor coupled between a power supply and ground, and a laser diode (fig.10 LD) coupled in series with said transistor.

With respect to claim 19, Taguchi discloses the transistor to be a bipolar transistor (fig.10 #74) having a base coupled to said differential output stage (fig.10 #74, differential output connected to base).

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3, 7-8, 12-13, and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tsai (US 2003/0156609).

With respect to claim 1, Tsai discloses a method comprising: providing current to a laser diode of an optical communication system (transmitter-diode, receiver-media which light strikes) using a transistor (fig.4 #Q501) coupled in series with said laser diode (fig.4 LD401) between a power supply voltage (fig.4 Vcc) and ground.

With respect to claim 2, Tsai discloses providing a differential output stage (fig.4, formed of: Resistor next to Q504, Resistor next to Q505, Q502, Q503) coupled to drive said transistor ([0034]).

With respect to claim 3, Tsai discloses the transistor to be gate driven (fig.4 Q501, differential output connected to gate).

With respect to claim 7, Tsai discloses a method comprising: forming a direct modulation laser driver including a transistor (fig.4 Q501) coupled between a power supply (fig.4 Vcc) and a laser diode (fig.4 LD401); and coupling said transistor to be driven by a differential output stage (fig.4, formed of: Resistor next to Q504, Resistor next to Q505, Q502, Q503).

With respect to claim 8, Tsai discloses the transistor to be a field effect transistor (fig.4 Q501) having its gate coupled to said differential output stage.

With respect to claim 12, Tsai discloses a driver for a direct modulation laser comprising: a differential output stage (fig.4, formed of: Resistor next to Q504, Resistor next to Q505, Q502, Q503), a transistor driven by said differential output stage (fig.4

Q501), said transistor coupled between a power supply (fig.4 Vcc) and ground, and a laser diode (fig.4 LD401) coupled in series with said transistor.

With respect to claim 13, Tsai discloses the transistor is a field effect transistor (fig.4 Q501) having a gate coupled to said differential output stage (fig.4 Q501, differential output connected to gate).

With respect to claim 17, Tsai discloses a system comprising: a media access control (fig.4 #412, would allow for control by a media device), a laser driver coupled to said media access control (fig.4), said laser driver including a differential output stage (fig.4, formed of: Resistor next to Q504, Resistor next to Q505, Q502, Q503), a transistor driver by said differential output stage (fig.4 Q501), said transistor coupled between a power supply and ground, and a laser diode (fig.10 LD) coupled in series with said transistor.

With respect to claim 18, Taguchi discloses the transistor to be a field effect transistor (fig.4 Q501) having a gate coupled to said differential output stage (fig.4 Q501, differential output connected to gate).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

> (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 5, 10, 16, and 21 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taguchi in view of Kwon et al. (US 2003/0002551).

With respect to claims 5, 10, 16, and 21, Taguchi teaches the lasers diode driver as outlined in the rejections to claims 1, 7, 12, and 17, but does not teach the use of an AC coupled matching resistor. Kwon teaches a laser diode driver that uses an AC coupled matching resistor (fig.3 Rc1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the laser driver of Taguchi with the resistor of Kwon in order to reduce ringing during high speed operation (Kwon, [0030]).

Claims 6, 11, 15, and 20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taguchi in view of Tanaka et al. (US 2004/0114650).

With respect to claims 6, 11, 15, and 20, Taguchi teaches the lasers diode driver as outlined in the rejections to claims 1, 7, 12, and 17, but does not teach the use of parallel matching resistors. Tanaka teaches a laser diode driver which uses parallel matching resistors (fig.3 Rd). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the laser driver of Taguchi with the matching

resistors of Tanaka in order to suppress the reflection of signals from the laser diode (Tanaka, [0040]).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tod T. Van Roy whose telephone number is (571)272-8447. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Minsun Harvey can be reached on (571)272-1835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TVR

MINSUN OH HARVEY
PRIMARY EXAMINER